



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,522	02/20/2004	Roy Lurie	MWS-109RCE	7481
74321	7590	05/28/2008		
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP/THE MATHWORKS				
One Post Office Square				
Boston, MA 02109-2127				
EXAMINER				
WHALEY, PABLO S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1631				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/28/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/783,522

**Applicant(s)**

LURIE ET AL.

**Examiner**

PABLO WHALEY

**Art Unit**

1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PABLO WHALEY.(3) MIKE BADZINSKI (APPL. REPR.).(2) EUIHOON LEE (APPL. REPR.).(4) KEVIN CANNING (APPL. REPR.).

Date of Interview: 23 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Potts and Bubendorf.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment submitted by applicant. Discussed 101 issues directed to tangible results for programs and computer-readable media (claim 1) and non-functional versus function descriptive subject matter. Applicant's argued that the prior art did not teach automated gathering of data from an in-situ experimental device.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Pablo S. Whaley/  
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required